

1
2
3
4 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
5 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

6 _____)
7 HERBERT PENROSE,)

8 Plaintiff,)

9 vs.)

10 FIRST MAGNUS FINANCIAL CORP. et al.,)

11 Defendants.)
12 _____)

3:18-cv-00276-RCJ-VPC

ORDER

13 Plaintiff sued Defendants in this Court to prevent the nonjudicial foreclosure of property
14 in which he claimed an interest. The Court denied preliminary injunctive relief and granted a
15 motion to dismiss, because the action was precluded by prior state court judgments. Moreover,
16 recorded documents of which the Court could take judicial notice negated allegations concerning
17 the loan origination, and the remaining allegations were based on invalid legal theories. The
18 Court directed the Clerk to close the case but did not enter a separate judgment. Plaintiff has
19 asked the Court to reconsider, but there is no basis to reconsider. Plaintiff identifies no newly
20 discovered evidence or intervening change in the law.

21 ///

22 ///

23 ///


1 **CONCLUSION**

2 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Motion to Reconsider (ECF No. 16) is DENIED.

3 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk shall enter judgment.

4 IT IS SO ORDERED.

5 Dated this 24th day of January, 2019.

6 
7 _____
8 ROBERT C. JONES
9 United States District Judge
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24